



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/599,974	02714796	FRO EDMAN		J	6600-1-1620F1
					EXAMINER
(<i>\X</i> -		li "	الهريشو م ^{ا ي} ماريد ماريد		•
DAVID A JACKSON KLAUBER AND JACKSON 411 HACKENSACK AVENUE MACKENSACK NJ 07601		ISIR EIG EI	IVED	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		APR 4	1997	INVESTIGATION	,'° </td
		WLÚ Ý	. 1997		
		K Lauber &	JACKSON	1812	
		i		DATE MAILED:	03/31/97
		charge of your application.		I.	WON WAY 27
COMMISSIONER OF P				•	
~	for pestil	stron and			•
	Elen X74	~ one			_
This application has	been examined	Show and only. Responsive to communic	ation filed on		This action is made final.
		nis action is set to expire			om the date of this letter
		se will cause the application to			on the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWI	NG ATTACHMENT(S)	ARE PART OF THIS ACTIO	N•		
74111 1112 7 0220111	ind ATTAOTIME ITTO	ANETAIN OF THIS ASTIC			
1. Notice of Ref	erences Cited by Exa	miner, PTO-892.			tent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
	Cited by Applicant, P7		4. Z No	ice of Informal Patent	Application, PTO-152
5. Li Information o	n How to Effect Drawl	ng Changes, PTO-1474	6. 24 _/	wid for so	eguerro Kules
Part II SUMMARY OF	ACTION				
Claims	1-66				are possible to the configuration
Claims					_ are pending in the application.
Of the abo	ove, claims			are	withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	-				have been cancelled.
					_
				•	
5. Claims	·				_ are objected to.
6 Claims	-66		a	re subject to restriction	n or election requirement.
7. This application	has been filed with inf	ormal drawings under 37 C.F.	R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for exam	ination purposes.
8. Formal drawings	s are required in respo	nse to this Office action.			
	•		•		
9. ☐ The corrected of are ☐ acceptab	r substitute drawings h bie; ont acceptable	ave been received on (see explanation or Notice of C	Oraftsman's Pater	nt Drawing Review, P	.F.R. 1.84 these drawings TO-948).
		sheet(s) of drawings, filed on miner (see explanation).		has (have) been	approved by the
11. The proposed dr	awing correction, filed	, has	s been 🔲 appro	ved; disapproved	(see explanation).
12. Acknowledgeme	nt is made of the claim parent application, seri	n for priority under 35 U.S.C.	119. The certified; filed on	copy has been re	aceived not been received
		n condition for allowance exceptante Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 4	•	ers, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in
14. Other				•	
,-, Quio:		News			
		· / (\\)			
		DOCKE	ren col		•



A STATE OF THE STATE OF

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Amendment	to	Paper	No.		
-----------	----	-------	-----	--	--

NOTICE OF INFORMAL APPLICATION

(Attachment to Office Action)

This application does not conform with the rules governing applications for the reason(s) checked below. The period within which to correct these requirements and avoid abandonment is set in the accompanying Office action.

A. A nev Γhe oath	w oath or declaration, identifying this application by the application number and filing date is required. or declaration does not comply with 37 CFR 1.63 in that it:
1. 🗆	does not identify the city and state or foreign country of residence of each inventor.
2. 🗆	does not identify the citizenship of each inventor.
3. 🗆	does not state whether the inventor is a sole or joint inventor.
4. 🗆	does not state that the person making the oath or declaration:
a.	has reviewed and understands the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to in the oath or declaration.
b.	believes the named inventor or inventors to be the original and the first inventor or inventors of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought.
c.	 acknowledges the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.
5. 🗆	does not identify the foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on which priority is claimed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.55, and any foreign application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed, by specifying the application serial number, country, day, month, and year of its filing.
6. 🗆	does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and filing date of the continuation-in-part application which discloses and claims subject matter in addition to that disclosed in the prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d)).
	does not include the date of execution.
8. □	does not use permanent ink, or its equivalent in quality, as required under 37 CFR 1.52(a).
9. 🗆	contains non-initialed alterations (See 37 CFR 1.52(c)).
10. 🗆	Other:
B. Appl	icant is required to provide:
1. 🗆	A statement signed by applicant giving his or her complete name. A full name must include at least one given name without abbreviation as required by (37 CFR 1.41(a)).
2. 🗆	Proof of authority of the legal representative under 37 CFR 1.44.
3. 🗀	An abstract in compliance with 37 CFR 1.72(b).
4. □	A statement signed by applicant giving his or her complete post office address (37 CFR 1.33(a)).
	A copy of the specification written, typed, or printed in permanent ink, or its equivalent in quality as required by 37 CFR 1.52(a).
6.10	Other: The state of the state o

FORM **PTO-152** (REV. 11-93)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Amendment to Paper No.

NOTICE OF INFORMAL APPLICATION (Attachment to Office Action)

This application does not conform with the rules governing applications for the reason(s) checked below. The period

within which to correct these requirements and avoid abandonment is set in the accompanying Office action.	
A. A new oath or declaration, identifying this application by the application number and filing date is required. The oath or declaration does not comply with 37 CFR 1.63 in that it:	
1. does not identify the city and state or foreign country of residence of each inventor.	
2. does not identify the citizenship of each inventor.	
3. does not state whether the inventor is a sole or joint inventor.	
4. does not state that the person making the oath or declaration:	
a. has reviewed and understands the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to in the oath or declaration.	
b. Delieves the named inventor or inventors to be the original and the first inventor or inventors of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought.	
c. \square acknowledges the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.	
5. ☐ does not identify the foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on which priority is claimed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.55, and any foreign application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed, by specifying the application serial number, country, day, month, and year of its filing.	•
6. \(\subseteq\) does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and filing date of the continuation-in-part application which discloses and claims subject matter in addition to that disclosed in the prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d)).	
7. \(\square\) does not include the date of execution.	
8. □ does not use permanent ink, or its equivalent in quality, as required under 37 CFR 1.52(a).	
9. ☐ contains non-initialed alterations (See 37 CFR 1.52(c)).	
10. Other:	
B. Applicant is required to provide:	
1. A statement signed by applicant giving his or her complete name. A full name must include at least one given name without abbreviation as required by (37 CFR 1.41(a)).	
2. Proof of authority of the legal representative under 37 CFR 1.44.	
3. ☐ An abstract in compliance with 37 CFR 1.72(b).	
4. A statement signed by applicant giving his or her complete post office address (37 CFR 1.33(a)).	
5. A copy of the specification written, typed, or printed in permanent ink, or its equivalent in quality as required by 37 CFR 1.52(a).	
required by 37 CFR 1.52(a). 6. Other: There //re Unnumbered 12965 //fer	
FORM PTO-162 (REV. 11-05)) of 78 m is partied for it has for the 16 more. 49023 I'MI Continues on the next warrant for the first one of the desired for the formal for the second for the formal formal for the second for the formal formal for the second formal formal for the second formal formal formal formal formal formal formal for the second formal form	1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Applent Appl 40 Tions

CRF Diskette Problem Report

The Scientific and Technical Information Center (STIC) experienced a problem when processing the following CRF diskette:
Application Serial Number: $08/599,974$
Filing Date: 2/14/96
Classification: 435
Date Reviewed by STIC: $\frac{8/30}{96}$
Point-of-Contact / Telephone No: Meredith Beckhardt 703-308-4212
Nature of Problem:
The CRF diskette was: Damaged Unreadable Blank (no files present on the floppy disk)
A computer virus was detected on the diskette. The STIC will not process the diskette through the Data Capture System. Name of the virus: Nyf first raise
The CRF diskette contains an error that disrupts normal processing, as explained below:
The Sequence Listing was not converted into ASCII (DOS) text
See attached pages for clarification> Other:

Art Unit: 1812

1. A telephone call was made to David Jackson on 3-26-97 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. APPLICANTS EXPRESSLY REQUESTED A WRITTEN RESTRICTION.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14, 63, 66, drawn to various variant Ob receptor proteins, several point mutations and various compositions, classified in classes 530 and 514 or possibly 424, subclasses 350, 2+ and 401 respectively.
 - II. Claims 15-19, drawn to antigenic fragments and derivative thereto (Polymer conjugates), classified in classes 530, 424 subclasses 326+ and 185.1 respectively.
 - III. Claims 20-28, 34-48, 51-52, drawn to nucleic acids (NA) encoding Ob receptors (ObR), vectors, host cells and methods of making the variant forms of the OBR, classified in classes 435 and 536, subclasses 69.1+ and 23.5 respectively.
 - IV. Claims 29-33, 49-50 drawn to various partial nucleic acid pieces, such as oligo's (various different oligo's), antisense and ribozymes, classified in classes 514 and 536, subclasses 44+ and 24.3+ respectively-depending on the length and use of these products.
 - V. Claims 53-58 drawn to antibodies to ObR and hybridoma, classified in classes 530, subclass 388.22 and 70.21.
 - VI. Claims 59-62, drawn to methods of measuring leptin in various samples using antibodies, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - VII. Claims 64-65, drawn to methods of treating weight disorders such as obesity using OB-R compositions, classified in classes 514, subclasses 2+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as process of making and product made.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as

Art Unit: 1812

claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case there are no specific claims to methods of preparing the obR using the NA, vectors and host cells of Group III; however, the obR or Group I can be made by a materially different process other than with the use of the NA, vectors and host cells of Group III such as by chemical synthesis, or the isolation from nature using various isolation/purification/chromatographic procedures. Further, the NA of Group III can be used other than to make the protein of Group I, such it their use as probes, or their use in various diagnostic procedures or in various therapeutic procedures.

It is further pointed out that although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for multiple/different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because the products appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions. The inventive products of Groups I, II, III, IV and V are directed to products that are structurally, physically and functionally distinct and determined to be patentable they would also be patentably distinct. Furthermore, these products are not required one for the other.

In a similar manner to the above, it is pointed out that although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for multiple/different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because the methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions. The inventive methods of Groups III, VI and VII are directed to various diagnostic and therapeutic methods that require the use of different steps/methods; elements/agents that are physically and functionally distinct; there are different starting elements and the final outcome/results are different for these different methods that cover various diagnostics and therapeutic methods. Furthermore, these methods are not required one for the other.

Inventions Group I and Group VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that

Art Unit: 1812

product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the protein can be used in a materially different method such as its use as a probe, to make the antibodies of Group V, or in various diagnostic or other therapeutic methods.

Inventions Group V and Group VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibodies can be used in materially different method/processes as its use as in various diagnostics, immunoaffinity chromatography, as probes, or therapeutic methods.

3) IN THE EVENT APPLICANTS ELECT THE INVENTIONS OF GROUPS III OR IV, APPLICANTS ARE FURTHER REQUIRED TO ELECT AN ULTIMATE SPECIE AS FOLLOW:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A) If Group I is elected, then applicants are required to elect a **single** point mutation for examination with the five variant Ob-R (selection from the mutations listed in claim 14. It is further pointed out that in view of the fact that each of the five variant forms will be examined together, inclusive of Ob-R that are made up of different part of these five receptor, and those that have different N and/or C-terminal amino acid sequences; and because there are several point mutations, only one point mutation will be examined with the remaining claims if this group is elected.
- B) If Group IV is elected, then applicants may be required to elect an oligo from the various ones listed in claim 32.

Art Unit: 1812

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic for A or B listed above, but rather claims 14 and 32 represent a Markush group for the mutant Ob-R or oligo's respectively.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications which are not co-extensive. And there are different issues for the search and examination of each group, which would be **extremely** burdensome, accordingly, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1812

The communication filed 8-30-96 is not fully responsive to the communication mailed 5-7-96 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With The Sequence Rules or CRF Diskette Problem Report.

Since the response appears to be *bona fide*, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete response, applicant is required to complete the response within a TIME LIMIT of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the date of this letter or within the time remaining in the response period of the communication mailed 5-7-96, whichever is longer (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

No extension of this time limit may be granted under either 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b), but the statutory period for response set in the communication mailed 5-7-96 may be extended up to a maximum of SIX (6) MONTHS under 37 CFR 1.136.

NO EXTENSION OF TIME CAN APPLY FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE SEQUENCE

RULES SINCE THE INITIAL STATEMENT ISSUED TO APPLICNATS FOR SUCH WAS 57-96, THUS THE POTENTIAL SIX MONTH EXTENSION PERIOD HAS ALREADY

EXPIRED. Cilkmatuly, which this is now a kill bo Continuation of of 1586 594 in which the Compliance was of, applicants may wish to tomply via the Garent of a separate deak, this emplete proper con't be made to my inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Garnette D. Draper at telephone number (703) 308-4232.

GARNETTE D. DRAPER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 Page 6